

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/824,199 04/14/2004		Jonathan Willinger	JWIL 20.354 (100668-00107	5866			
26304	7590 03/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER			
	MUCHIN ROSENMAN ON AVENUE	N LLP	NGUYEN, TRINH T				
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		3644				

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/824,199	WILLINGER ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Trinh T. Nguyen	3644			

		rnini i. Nguyen		3044	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	h the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 21 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Noving replies: (1) an amendmentice of Appeal (with appeal for	tice of ent, aff ee) in (Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the	e mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
-	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).			
nave under set fo may r	isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exit 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for re- than three months after the ma	amount ply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	'(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	NDMENTS				
3. 🗵	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I				ecause
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further could		ee NO	TE below);	
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**	• - 11	1	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		-	- , , ,	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fina	ally rej	ected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).			
\$. 🗀	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of N	lon-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🗀	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:,		•	
3. <u> </u>	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a sep	arate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) vided below or appended.	☐ wil	l be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) objected to:				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-19</u> .				
:	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
با ٥٠	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filir d sufficient reasons why the	ng a No affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under	r appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the applica	ation ir	condition for allowar	nce because:
2. [Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) P	aper N	la(et	
	Other:	,			
_					\rightarrow
				Trinh-T Nguyen	
				Primary Examiner	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Inserting the phrase "a peripheral edge of the upper surface bounding the contiguous field" into claim 1 is just one example of new issue which would require further consideration.